Ethical Issues Relevant to Assessment

EPSY 829: Ethics and Professional Practice

Presented by: Kourtney Gorham & Taylor Vanchu

Introduction

- Psychological work is highly respected in society with psychological assessment often earning the highest regard.
- Psychological assessments are tools that help psychologists derive objective information about an individual's abilities, interests, learning capacities, and potential diagnoses.

Pros and Cons of Assessment

| Challenges | Benefits |
|---|--|
| Diagnostic labels Stigma/judgement from others Negative self-image Unanswered questions Legal trials Job loss Challenges with post-secondary admission Wait-times for assessment and services Misinterpretations Person reduced to a score | Increases self-awareness and ability to self-advocate for needed supports Use evidence-based supports and interventions Program and support acceptance |

Statistically, only 16% of individuals receive an accurate diagnosis and out of those with an accurate diagnosis, only 16% of adults and 10% of children receive adequate supports (Mash & Wolfe, 2019).

Using the Codes to Guide Ethical Assessment

- We can use the Saskatchewan College of Psychologists' *Professional Practice Guidelines* (2010) and the *Canadian Code of Ethics for Psychologists* (CPA, 2017) to ensure we meet the highest level of ethical behaviour when assessing.
- Additionally, we can consult:
 - Practice Guidelines for Providers of Psychological Services
 - Provincial Standards of Professional Conduct
 - Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing
 - o Guidelines for Ethical Psychological Practice with Women
 - Guidelines for Non-Discriminatory Practice

Before - Planning the Assessment

- Variety of statements and questions should be considered prior to assessment taking place.
- Assessment and Competency
- Informed Consent

Informed Consent

- CPA I.27 consent must be voluntarily given
- CPA I.23 and I.25 all of the information that a reasonable person would need to make an informed decision must be shared and updated throughout the process
- CPA I.24 the information given must be understandable to all
- CPA I.34 caregivers give consent for children
- CPA I.19 active participation from all involved
- CPA I.26 clarify multiple relationships
- CPA II.20 and CPA II.32 ensure appropriate use, give unbiased and professional opinions, and explain in culturally-appropriate ways
- SK 14.1 and SK 14.8 relay results clearly so they are appropriately used

Competency

- CPA II.6 and CPA II.9 work in area of competency
- CPA II.9 and SK 14.2 up-to-date knowledge of testing psychometrics and training in assessment selection, procedures, scoring, and interpretation
- SK 14.4 test selection on a case-by-case basis
- SK 14.5 use the most recent edition and examine the pros and cons of an assessment; report any limitations
- CPA II.13, CPA II.18, and SK 14.3 use methods that are valid to that specific person and ensure their diversity considerations match the normed population of the assessment; test should answer the questions sought; note any adaptations made

During/After - Conducting and Reporting

- Ethical Considerations (who, what, when, where, why)
- Assessment Limitations
- Report and follow-up meeting
- Assessment Responsibility

Confidentiality

- CPA III.10 objective reporting
- CPA I.37 report on questions sought
- CPA I.41 respect the client's right to privacy regardless of communication mode
- SK 14.6, SK 14.7, and SK 14.9 accurate interpretations given based on up-to-date information (even if a computer program is used to interpret)

Additional Considerations - Release of Assessments

- Outside services: education system, insurance companies, and the legal system
 - Can affect client's employment, educational supports, or testimony in court
- Law and Ethics
 - Assessment information and written reports
 - Subpoenas
 - Documentation

Additional Considerations - Sales and Copyright

- Psychological testing and assessments
- Assessment responsibility
- Training purposes and certification
- CPA IV.11 protect testing techniques and interpretations from being misused
- SK 14.10 protect the physical tests and methods used

Additional Considerations - Assessment with Children

- Reason for assessment
- Diagnosis (helpful or harmful)
- Cognitive or Behaviour
- Impact on individuals, family members, & community

Additional Considerations - Ethical and Diversity

- Aware of gender, age, sexuality, race, culture, language, and disabilities
 - Assessments were created without considering diversity of clients.
- Assessment Responsibility
 - Assessment Instruments
 - Documentation
 - Interpreter

Discussion Question

- After hearing these ethical considerations, do you or do you not think we should be conducting assessments?
- Can you think of additional times when assessment is ethical or unethical?

Conclusion

- The CPA principles Respect and Dignity of the Person, Responsible Caring, Integrity in Relationships, and Responsibility to Society - need to be at the forefront of our assessment practices.
- There are both positive and negative outcomes of testing and thus, it's not a practice we could just stop doing.
- Instead, we need to use the codes to guide our practice before, during, and after assessment so that we are ensuring minimal harm to clients and maximum benefit!

- Adams, H. E., & Luscher, K. A. (2003). Ethical considerations in psychological assessment. In O'Donohue, W. T, & Ferguson, K. E. (Eds.). *Handbook of Professional Ethics for Psychologists: Issues, Questions, and Controversies* (pp. 275-284). Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications.
- Barnett, J. E., Wise, E. H., Johnson-Greene, D., & Bucky, S. F. (2007). Informed consent: Too much of a good thing or not enough? *Professional Psychology, Research and Practice, 38*(2): 179-186.
- Clarke, M., Madaus, G., Horn, C., & Ramos, M. (2001). The marketplace for educational testing. *National Board of Educational Testing* and *Public Policy 2*(3), n.p. Retrieved from: https://www.bc.edu/research/nbetpp/publications/v2n3.html
- CPS Board of Directors (2017). *Canadian Code of Ethics for Psychologists* (4th ed.). Retrieved from: https://cpa.ca/docs/File/Ethics/CPA_Code_2017_4thEd.pdf
- Dombrowski, S. C., & Gischlar, K. L. (2014). Ethical and empirical considerations in the identification of learning disabilities. *Journal of Applied School Psychology*, 30(1), 68-82.

- Evans, D. R. (2011). Law, standards, and ethics in the practice of psychology (3rd ed.). Toronto, Ontario: Thomson Reuters Canada Ltd.
- Flanagan, D.P., Sotelo-Dynega, M., & Caltabiano, L.F. (2010). Test scores: a guide to understanding standardized test results. In Carter, A. S., Paige, L. Z., & Shaw S. (Eds.), *Helping Children at Home and School III: Handouts for Children and Educators* (pp. 1-4). New York: National Association of School Psychologists.
- Koocher, G. P., & Rey-Casserly, C. M. (2003). Ethical issues in psychological assessment. In Graham, J. R., & Naglieri, J. A. (Eds.), Handbook of Psychology: Volume 10: Assessment Psychology (pp. 165-180). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Lefaivre, M., Chambers, C. T., & Fernandez, C. V. (2007). Offering parents individualized feedback on the results of psychological testing conducted for research purposes with children: Ethical issues and recommendations. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 36(2), 242-252.
- LoBello, S. G., & Zachar, P. (2007). Psychological test sales and internet auctions: Ethical considerations for dealing with obsolete or unwanted test materials. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, *38*(1), 68-70.

- Mash, E.J. & Wolfe, D. A. (2019). Abnormal Child Psychology (7th Ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, Cengage Learning.
- Michaels, M. (2006). Ethical considerations in writing psychological assessment reports. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 62(1), 47-58.
- Naglieri, J. A., Drasgow, F., Schmit, M., Handler, L., Prifitera, A., Margolis, A., & Velasquez, R. (2004). Psychological testing on the internet: New problems, old issues. *American Psychologist*, *59*(3), 150-162.
- Neuman, W. L. (2011). Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches (7th). Toronto: Allyn & Bacon.
- Public Broadcasting Services (PBS) (2002). The testing industry's big four. *Frontline*, n.p. Retrieved from:
 - https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/shows/schools/testing/companies.html
- Russell, G., Norwich, B., & Gwernan-Jones, R. (2012). When diagnosis is uncertain: Variation in conclusions after psychological assessment of a six-year-old child. *Early Child Development and Care, 182*(12), 1575-1592.

- Saskatchewan College of Psychologists (2010). *Professional Practice Guidelines* (2nd ed.). Retrieved from:
 - http://www.skcp.ca/pdf%20files/PROFESSIONAL%20PRACTICE%20GUIDELINES%20May%2017-10%20FINAL.pdf
- Simner, M. (1994). Canada's reaction to misleading advertisements for pre-school screening tests. *School Psychology International*, *15*(3), 277-286.
- Tan, J. O.A., Passerini, G. E., & Stewart, A. (2007). Special section: Consent and confidentiality in clinical work with young people. Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 12(2): 191-210.
- Tranel, D. (1994). The release of psychological data to nonexperts: Ethical and legal considerations. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 25(1), 33-38.
- Turchik, J. A., Karpenko, V., Hammers, D., & McNamara, J. R. (2007). Practical and ethical assessment issues in rural, impoverished, and managed care settings. *Professional Psychology, Research and Practice*, *38*(2), 158-168.